An audit of the appropriateness of CTPA requests in a district general hospital

Dr Faisal Ashraf [

Dr Sri Iyengar



Background

- Pulmonary embolism (PE) is an important disease with significant mortality and morbidity.
- Multiple clinical scoring systems exist to to help with the clinical assessment of patients with suspected PE such as PERC score and WELLS score. These scoring systems have been externally validated.
- NICE recommends the use of a 2 level WELLS score in the assessment of PE.

Background

 In 2013/14 an audit was done at Wexham Park Hospital to assess the usage of CTPA in the assessment of patients with suspected PE.

 This audit found that the CTPA scans were positive in 16.8% of patients.

• Since this audit, guidelines at the trust have changed to include both a PERC score and a 2 level WELLS Score.

Aim

 Our audit aimed to assess whether the intervention of using a WELLS score and PERC score has increased the positive yield of CTPA scans.

 We also assessed whether there was evidence of a WELLS score and PERC score being documented, whether the preceding chest x-ray was normal and if the CTPA was able to find an alternative pathology to PE.

Methods

 We assessed 200 consecutive electronic patient records and scans from 13/11/2019- 31/12/2019

 If a WELLS score or PERC score was not documented one was calculated retrospectively using clinical notes and observation charts and documented differential diagnoses lists.

Results

 The positive yield of CTPA scans was 21% (increased from 16.8%)

10% of patients had a documented WELLS score

No patients had a documented PERC score

 Out of the 42 patients with a positive scan for PE, 50% had an abnormal CXR prior to the CTPA

Results (avoidable scans)

 7 out of 200 patients had a low pre-test probability (Wells score ≤ 4) and a negative D-Dimer and all 7 patients had a negative CTPA for PE

 14 of the 200 patients had a PERC score of 0 and all had negative CTPAs for PE.

 95 (62%) out of the 158 negative CTPAs had an alternative clinically significant pathology identified by the CTPA

Conclusion

• The positive yield of CTPA scans for PE is 21%, this is in line with the Royal College of Radiologist recommendations of between 15.4 & 37.4% of patients. Also this is improved compared to the previous audit.

 7 or 14 CTPA scans out of 200 could have been avoided had a 2 level Wells score or PERC score been used respectively.

> https://www.rcr.ac.uk/audit/appropriateness-usagecomputed-tomography-pulmonary-angiography-ctpainvestigation-suspected

Recommendations

• To further improve the diagnostic yield of CTPAs and minimise avoidable scans a 2 level wells score could be incorporated into the computer request for CTPA on the ICE requesting system.

 A teaching session could be incorporated into departmental inductions or a poster could be placed in the ED hub and AECU to advise using a clinical decision-making tool prior to requesting a CTPA.

Questions/ Comments

faisal.ashraf@doctors.org.uk